TAFT COLLEGE	(E)	TAFTCOLLEGE	
---------------------	------------------	-------------	--

Board Policy – Internal Processes

New Revised Reviewed Only

ACCJC CCLC Update

BP # 4	_			
Initial once completed				
	1.	Changes in revised policy saved as "Revised XXXX_date" in "Work in Progress" folder in the appropriate chapter Date:		
Im	2.	Vice President or Director approves or submits revisions or new policy to Administrative Clerk Date: 2 18 2020 Au Characteristics		
po	3.	The President approves or submits changes Date: 3/35/30		
gr	4.	Board policies with <u>board memo</u> prepared for Board Meeting: 1st Reading date: 2nd Reading date:		
Sa	5.	Official changes are made (including revision dates) and uploaded to the web		
ar -	6.	Tracking spreadsheet updated		
ge	7.	Add to Outlook Annual/Monthly Appointment list located on 1st day of month on Outlook Calendar		
gr	8.	The old document is moved to "Superseded" folder		
SI	9.	Move "Revised XXXX Date" from "Work in Progress" folder into the main folder and rename as "BP XXXX Name of Document, Date" (date approved)		
-yc	10.	Update "ProcedureOrig&RevisionRecords" spreadsheet		
	11.	Email appropriate Vice President or Superintendent/President an electronic version of the finished policy		

12. Scan into Board Policies & Procedures (ct-prod-fs)(W:)\Archive of Action Taken\ named BP XXXX & board approval date

BP 4030 Academic Freedom

Reference:

Title 5, Section 51023; Accreditation Standard H.A.7.I.C.7.

Institutions of higher education are conducted for the common good and not to further the interest of either the individual instructor or the institution as a whole. The common good depends upon the free search for truth and its free exposition. In order to assure the academic integrity of the teaching-learning process, faculty distinguish between personal conviction and professionally accepted views in a discipline. They present data and information fairly and objectively.

- 1. The instructor is entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of the results, subject to the adequate performance of his/her other academic duties; but research for pecuniary return should be based upon an understanding with the authorities of the institution.
- The instructor is entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing his/her subject, but he/she should be careful not to introduce into his/her teaching controversial matter which has no relation to his/her subject. Limitations of academic freedom because of religious or other aims of the institution should be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment.
- The college or university instructor is a citizen, a member of a learned profession, and an officer of an educational institution. When he/she speaks or writes as a citizen, he/she should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but his/her special position in the community imposes special obligations. As a person of learning and an educational officer, he/she should remember that the public may judge his/her profession and his/her institution as his/her utterances. Hence he/she should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should respect other's opinions, and should make every effort to indicate that he/she is not an institutional spokesperson.

Formatted: Font: Bold, Not Italic, No underline

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Font: Bold

WKCCD Board Policies & Procedures Approved 9/10/03

BP 4030 Academic Freedom

Reference:

Title 5, Section 51023; Accreditation Standard H.A.7.

Institutions of higher education are conducted for the common good and not to further the interest of either the individual instructor or the institution as a whole. The common good depends upon the free search for truth and its free exposition. In order to assure the academic integrity of the teaching-learning process, faculty distinguish between personal conviction and professionally accepted views in a discipline. They present data and information fairly and objectively.

- 1. The instructor is entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of the results, subject to the adequate performance of his/her other academic duties; but research for pecuniary return should be based upon an understanding with the authorities of the institution.
- 2. The instructor is entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing his/her subject, but he/she should be careful not to introduce into his/her teaching controversial matter which has no relation to his/her subject. Limitations of academic freedom because of religious or other aims of the institution should be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment.
- 3. The college or university instructor is a citizen, a member of a learned profession, and an officer of an educational institution. When he/she speaks or writes as a citizen, he/she should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but his/her special position in the community imposes special obligations. As a person of learning and an educational officer, he/she should remember that the public may judge his/her profession and his/her institution as his/her utterances. Hence he/she should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should respect other's opinions, and should make every effort to indicate that he/she is not an institutional spokesperson.



POLICY & PROCEDURE SERVICE

BP 4030 Academic Freedom

References:

Title 5 Section 51023; ACCJC Accreditation Eligibility Requirement 20 and ACCJC Accreditation Standard I.C.7

NOTE: Boards are legally required to adopt a statement of academic freedom. The District should insert its current policy as developed collegially with the Academic Senate or collectively bargained, in this section.

Revised 11/14

Disclaimer: This document is provided as a benefit to Community College League of California's Policy & Procedure Service subscribers and cannot be shared outside of their entity. The information contained within is a sample only and is not designed to address each District's specific and unique issues, internal rules or practices, or governing documents that might be in place at each entity. Districts should always consult with local District legal counsel prior to implementation.